

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/909,453	07/19/2001	Danny Graves	O00-073A	O00-073A 9668	
75	90 04/19/2004		EXAMINER		
Steven G. Austin			LUU, THANH X		
The Gates Corp					
Mail Stop 31-4-1-A3			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
900 S. Broadway			2878		
Denver, CO 80209			DATE MAILED: 04/19/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u></u>		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/909,453	GRAVES ET AL.			
		Examin r	Art Unit	<u> </u>		
		Thanh X Luu	2878	AW		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this c mmunication apports or Reply	pears on the cover she t with the	correspondence addre	9SS		
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Faile Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply on period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fror , cause the application to become ABANDON	mely filed ys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this comm ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.		
Status						
1)[🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 Fe	<u>ebruary 2004</u> .				
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	action is non-final.				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1,5-7 and 9-42</u> is/are pending in the at 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>16-25</u> is/are withdraw Claim(s) <u>1,5-7,9-11,14,15 and 38-40</u> is/are allocalim(s) <u>12,13 and 26-37</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	vn from consideration. owed.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
10)□	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is of	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). pjected to. See 37 CFR ²	, ,		
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)□ a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document: 2. Certified copies of the priority document: 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document: application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicative documents have been received in Applicative documents have been received.	tion No red in this National Sta	age		
Attachmen	• •	_				
2) Notice 3) Infor	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:		52)		

Application/Control Number: 09/909,453 Page 2

Art Unit: 2878

DETAILED ACTION

This Office Action is in response to amendments and remarks filed February 27, 2004. Claims 1, 5-7 and 9-42 are currently pending.

Claims 16-25 have been withdrawn. Examiner recommends cancellation of the claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly

claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 12 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claims 12 and 13, the claims are dependent from cancelled claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 26, 27, 32-34 and 36, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant's admitted prior art (preamble of claim 1), hereinafter AAPA, in view of Yasuda (U.S. Patent 4,676,638).

Regarding claims 26, 27, 32-34 and 36, AAPA discloses (see preamble of claim 1) a precipitation sensor having an optical emitter, an optical receiver, a first mirror

Art Unit: 2878

153 Page 3

surface for collimating light emitted from the optical emitter, a second mirror surface for focusing the emitted light upon the optical receiver, and an electronic circuit in electrical communication with the optical emitter and the optical receiver. AAPA do not specifically disclose an intermediate reflector. Yasuda teaches (see Figure 1) a precipitation sensor having an intermediate reflector (6c or 6e). Yasuda also teaches (see Figure 1) the intermediate reflector is proximate the emitter or receiver and the intermediate reflector comprises a first reflective or second reflective region. Yasuda further teaches (see Figure 3) the first or second reflective regions pass light at angles not giving rise to total reflection. In addition, Yasuda teaches (see Figure 1) the first or second reflective region having a first mean reflective point being displaced from the automotive glass (2) at least as great as a distance of the first or second leading edge of the first or second mirror surface is displaced from the automotive glass. Yasuda also teaches (see Figure 1) a working optical path from the emitter to the first mirror surface to a first reflective region to an outer surface of the automotive glass to a second reflective region to the second mirror surface to the receiver and the path being substantially within solid optical elements, and the first mirror, second mirror and the intermediate reflector comprises a single optical unit. Yasuda also teaches (see Figs.) the second mirror surface is positioned between the receiver and substantially all ambient light exiting from the glass toward the receiver. Yasuda further teaches (see Figure 1) molding glass between the intermediate reflector and the automotive glass. Yasuda recognizes that an intermediate reflector allows for improved detection and a more flexible configuration. Thus, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary

skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide an intermediate reflector in the apparatus and method of AAPA in view of Yasuda to improve detection or provide a desired mounting configuration.

5. Claims 26-31, 36, 37, 41 and 42, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant's admitted prior art (preamble of claim 1), hereinafter AAPA, in view of Pientka (U.S. Patent 5,811,793).

Regarding claims 26-31, 36, 37, 41 and 42, AAPA discloses (see preamble of claim 1) a precipitation sensor having an optical emitter, an optical receiver, a first mirror surface for collimating light emitted from the optical emitter, a second mirror surface for focusing the emitted light upon the optical receiver, and an electronic circuit in electrical communication with the optical emitter and the optical receiver. AAPA do not specifically disclose an intermediate reflector. Pientka teaches (see Figure) a precipitation sensor having an intermediate reflector (at 15 and 24). Pientka also teaches (see Figure) the intermediate reflector is proximate the emitter or receiver and the intermediate reflector comprises a first reflective or second reflective region. Pientka also teach (see Figure 1) field regulators (35). Pientka also teaches (see Figs.) the second mirror surface is positioned between the receiver and substantially all ambient light exiting from the glass toward the receiver. Pientka also teaches (see Figure) a glass molding and use of a coloring agent (see column 4, lines 47-50), and an optical notch (a valley in the ridges at 35, 36) as claimed. Pientka recognizes that an intermediate reflector allows for improved detection and a more flexible configuration. Thus, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the

Art Unit: 2878

invention was made to provide an intermediate reflector in the apparatus and method of AAPA in view of Pientka to improve detection or provide a desired mounting configuration.

6. Claim 35 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over AAPA (preamble of claim 1), in view of Yasuda or Pientka and further in view of Koyama et al. (U.S. Patent 6,285,037).

Regarding claim 35, AAPA in view of Yasuda or Pientka disclose the claimed invention as set forth above. AAPA, Yasuda and Pientka do not specifically disclose using visible light. Koyama et al. teach (see column 2) using visible light in a precipitation sensor. Thus, Koyama et al. recognize that visible light or any other wavelength of light is used in precipitation sensors. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use visible light in the apparatus or method of AAPA in view of Yasuda or Pientka and further in view of Koyama et al. as desired to reduce costs.

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 1, 5-7, 9-11, 14, 15 and 38-40 allowed over the prior art of record.

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 26-37 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant's generally asserts that the prior art does not disclose the mirror positioned in the position as claimed. Examiner disagrees. As understood, the mirror of the prior art references is positioned between the receiver and ambient light. Thus, the

Art Unit: 2878

application is not in condition for allowance and this rejection is proper.

9. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thanh X Luu whose telephone number is (571) 272-2441. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (6:30-4:00) First Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Porta can be reached on (571) 272-2444. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

Art Unit: 2878

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Thanh X Luu Primary Examiner Art Unit 2878 Page 7

04/04